

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/696,354	Applicant(s) HOBBS ET AL.	
	Examiner Ernest G. Therkorn	Art Unit 1723	

All Participants:

(1) Ernest G. Therkorn.

(2) Vincent K. Gustafson.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 February 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:


None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner required a restriction between claims 1-18 and 19-33. Vincent K. Gustafson then elected claims 1-18 without traverse.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)